(BELICO)

MEMORANDUM

TEC. 85-407

May 23rd, 1985

TO

CHIEF RESERVOIR ENGINEER Jul

FROM

JULIO MEGO C.

REF.

STATIC PRESSURE GRADIENTS AT WELLS: A3-14, L02-22,

LO6-16, LO7-19, LO10-12A, LO10-13X, LO10-16 and

L010-17.

Attached please find a summary of the results and comments of the static pressure gradients ran in above mentioned wells. The LO10 platform wells were shut-down the first days of the month when well LO10-9 began to produce. Advantage was taken of this situation in order to record these SBHP, which given the long SI time are significant for reservoir analysis and understanding of well performance.

Please observe that our concern with well LO2-22 has been resolved with this BHP, that well LO10-12A is possibly draining a small block and that well LO6-16 has a water column that confirms log suggestion of a high water saturated region. Since there is the suggestion of plugging this well a good test at maximum drawdown is advisable prior to taking that decision.

Well A3-14 This well is within CC-A3 R.Bravo Reservoir project. Recorded pressure can be considered as representative of the reservoir because of the long shut-in time. Current pressure is 61 psi lower than a previous one recorded in Jan. 10/84, which is in dicating that the pressure at CC-A3 R.Bravo Reservoir is still decreasing.

Well LO6-22 Well was shut-in 4 days before this survey, therefore, the pressure here recorded can be considered as represent ative of the reservoir. In order to investigate possibilities of well-bore damage a build-up pressure survey was requested in Apr. 5/82 (TEC. 82-165); however, this one was not run. This SBHP is the first after the initial pressure record. The formation gradient calculated does not indicate a good production potential and suggests that the reservoir volume contacted by this well is very small given the low-cumulative production and poor performance of the well. Therefore, it only remains to insure proper lifting of the well even at its reduced capacity. The last service job was carried out in March 1981.

Well LO10-12A Initial pressure after its completion was not recorded, current survey is the first BHP of the well. Pressure increased in 4 psi during 10 hours that the bomb was in the well; therefore, recorded pressure can be considered representative of the reservoir pressure. Well is flowing through casing, however, last tests are showing a strong drop production. This well is in the plans for artificial lift assistance.



Well LO7-19 There is not information about shut-in time, the record ed pressure is not stabilized, a pressure increase of $\overline{2}$ psi was observed during 30 min. that the bomb was in the well, likewise the WHP when the bomb POH is 12 psi higher than the initial. Presently pressure can be used only for reference.

ENG. JULIO MEGO C.

JMC/rmr.

cc: Tech. Supt. Prod. Supt. Well: Files GE-2201.61 GE-2201.69 GE-2201.87 GE-2201.89 GE-2201.96

RESULTS OF BHP SURVEYS

	Well	<u>A3-14</u>	L02-22	L010-12A	L010-13X	<u>L010-16</u>	L010-17	<u>L06–16</u> <u>L07–19</u>
	Date Interval	Apr. 17/85 2403'-3604'	Apr. 9/85 3981'-5097'	Apr. 18/85 4245'-4399'	Apr. 19/85 4224'-4571'	Apr. 16/86 4008'-3749' 3119'-3456'	Apr. 16/85 3756'-4060'	Apr. 17/85 Apr. 11/85 4980'-6370' 2015'-2151'
•	Formation Wellhead pressure, psig Pressure at bomb depth, psig(VD ft) Pressure at MPP, psig Fluid level, VD ft	R. Bravo 340 361(2864) 361 Gas at bomb	R. Bravo 219 325 (3584) 325 below 3600'	Pariñas 997 1127 (3791) 1122 (3750) below 3650'	Terebrátula 205 1181(3894) 1229(4028) Gas at surface	Terebrātula 327 350 (2361) 351?(2520) Gas at bomb	Pariñas 402 880(3285) 882(3290) Gas at surface	R. Bravo Terebrátula 741 288 1524(5512) 318+ (1852) 1266(4911) 318+ Gas at surface Gas at surface
	Avg. fluid gradient, psi/ft	depth. 0.01	0.01	0.03	Liquid at 1560'	depth 0.03		Liquid at 3825' Liquid below 1900' 0.429 0.01
	Formation press. gradient, psi/ft Shut-in time,	0.13 16 days	0.09 4 days	0.300 6 days	0.305 7 days	0.139 4 days	0.387 4 days	0.258 0.172 5 days N.R.

JMC/rmr.